

OCT 17 2002
PATENT & TRADEMARK

DOCKET NO: 17171CIP4DIV

1648
#8
PATENT
Recon.
10/23/02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Klein et al.
Serial No.: 09/685,828
Conf. No.: 4591
Filed: October 10, 2000
For: METHODS OF IDENTIFYING
COMPOUNDS HAVING
NUCLEAR RECEPTOR NEGATIVE
HORMONE AND/OR ANTAGONIST
ACTIVITIES
Examiner: Myron G. Hill

Group Art Unit: 1648

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail and addressed to: Box Fee Amendment, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on:

Date of Deposit: 10/11/2002
Person making Deposit: BONNIE FERGUSON
Signature: Bonnie Ferguson
Date of Signature: 10/11/2002

RECEIVED

OCT 22 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

REPLY AND AMENDMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir,

This communication is in reply to the Office Action mailed July 17, 2002. The Office Action has been carefully considered; Applicants have the following comments.

REMARKS

Rejection Pursuant to 35 USC §112

Claims 21-25, 33 and 39 were rejected as being allegedly indefinite. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection for the reasons stated below.

The Examiner states that it is unclear if the agonist of claim 21 step a) is the same as the ligand of step b) of the same claim. Applicants respectfully submit that the ligand of step b) is any ligand, whether an agonist, antagonist, or inverse agonist. The point is that, for the comparison mentioned by the Examiner, the expression of the reporter gene is measured in the absence of any such ligand, and then the effect of a test compound is measured. If the expression of the reporter gene is less upon contact with a test compound than is seen in the absence of any added ligand (as defined above), then the test compound is determined to be an inverse agonist of the nuclear receptor. Thus, the present claim language is clear to the person of ordinary skill